

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheet(s) of drawings (sheets 1-10A and 10B) are in response to the request in the Notice of Allowance for corrected drawings and replaces the original drawings, sheets 1-10, inclusive. Drawings 1 and 8-9 have been formalized, but do not include any other corrections. Drawings 2-7 and 10A-B include changes to address informalities and to conform to the specification as originally filed. No new matter has been added to any of the replacement drawings. In particular, the changes are as follows:

Figure 2 corrects a typographical error, wherein the processor and memory were incorrectly labeled (labels 150 and 160 were reversed) per the specification as originally filed. See page 9, lines 11-13. The communication link (zig-zag line) was also corrected to conform to FIGURE 1 and the specification page 8 lines 15 through page 9 line 4. Add the label “communication bus” to 180 so that the drawing conforms to the specification at page 9 line 13.

Figure 3 corrects the communication link (zig-zag line) to conform to FIGURE 1 and to conform to the specification page 8, line 15 through page 9, line 4.

Figure 4 changes the word “Internet” 200 to “network” 200 to conform with the specification as originally filed. See specification page 8, line 15 through page 9, line 7. In addition, Application Server 300 was changed to Optimizer Device 300, to conform to the specification as seen on page 9, line 17. Labels were also added to “customer devices” 100 to conform to the specification as originally filed. See page 8, line 15, through page 9, line 4.

Figure 5 changes the word “Internet” 200 to “network,” 200 to conform with the specification as originally filed. See specification page 8, line 17, and page 9, line 7. Labels were also added to “customer devices” 100 to conform to the original specification per page 9, line 7. Server 1 label of 100 was incorrect and was deleted, to conform to the specification at page 8 line 15 through page 9 line 4, and Figure 1. Lines were included from processing component 320 to servers 2 and 3 to conform to the scope of the original specification per page 13, lines 1-8.

Figure 6 was changed to properly reference figures 10A-B, rather than merely figure 10.

Figure 7, block 630, was corrected to read “determine utility function from regression or engineering judgment or combination,” and to conform to the specification at page 24 lines 3-5.

Figure 10B was originally labeled as Figure 10, and Figure 10A was not labeled. The two figures were therefore relabeled as Figure 10A and 10B.

Figure 10A block 1050 was changed from “customer selects some or all of recommended commodity types to optimize,” to “customer responds to recommended commodity types to optimize,” to be consistent with the scope of the original specification per page 15, lines 21-22.

Figure 10B, the text “yes” was added on the original lines from decision block 1060 to block 1070, and the text “no” was added to the original lines from decision block 1060 to block 1065, to conform to the specification as originally filed. See page 16, lines 1-5. Also changed block 1080 to read “pre-populate applicable optimizer input profiles for selected commodity types,” (the word “fields” was changed to “profiles”) to conform to the specification as originally filed. See specification page 18 lines 15-16.

**REMARKS**

Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request the entry of this amendment under 37 CFR 1.312(a) as they add no new matter, and do not affect the patentability of the claims.

Dated: December 17, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By *J. Wiegand*

Jamie L. Wiegand

Registration No.: 52,361

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(206) 262-8900

(212) 753-6237 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Attachments